Radiographic Outcomes of Patients Treated with SHILLA GROWTH GUIDANCE SYSTEM and Definitive Posterior Spinal Fusion
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Background

• SGGS vs. traditional distraction-based GR
  – POSNA papers
    • Luhmann/McCarthy
    • Andras/Skaggs/Luhmann/McCarthy
  – Similar T1-S1 monthly growth
  – Similar control of coronal deformity
  – SGGS: Fewer reoperations

• No study has evaluated the group of patients treated with SGGS through definitive fusion.

Study Purpose

• To evaluate the outcome of patients who had undergone the SHILLA GROWTH GUIDANCE SYSTEM (SGGS) procedure for management of scoliosis of the growing spine and subsequently underwent definitive posterior spinal fusion (PSF)

Methods

• IRB approval retrospective study
• Washington University Spine database query
• Inclusion
  – Skeletally-immature patients who underwent SGGS for management of scoliosis >= 50 degrees
  – Definitive posterior spinal fusion at or near skeletal maturity
  – St. Louis Children’s Hospital, Shriners Hospital for Children

• 10 patients
• Mean age at SGGS: 9.0 yrs (3.5 to 11.9)
• Mean interval between SGGS & PSF: 4.3 yrs
• Mean age at definitive PSF: 13.4 yrs (10.3 to 15.9)
• Mean f/u after PSF: 1.3 yrs (0.1 to 2.5)
Results

• SGGS Revisions
  – 7 of 10 patients underwent SGGS revisions
  – Overall mean 1.2 revisions for entire cohort

• SGGS construct
  – 9 constructs w/ SHILLA set plugs
  – 1 construct w/ closed multi-axial screws CMAS
  – Mean 2.7 vertebra fused (2 to 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-SGGS</th>
<th>Post-SGGS</th>
<th>Pre-PSF</th>
<th>Post-PSF</th>
<th>Final</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Curve Cobb*</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(51.0 to 85.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% improvement</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• T1-S1 length
  – ↑ by 7.4 cm during treatment period: 1.7 cm/year
  – ↑ 4.8 cm post-SGGS to post-fusion: 1.1 cm/year
  – 60% had a compromised construct (rod fracture or screw pull-out) at time of definitive PSF. No association with outcome.

Comparison of Single and Dual Growing Rod Techniques Followed Through Definitive Surgery
Thompson, Akbarnia, Kostial et al
Spine 2005

7 patients with dual-GR constructs, no apical fusion

Autofusion in the Immature Spine Treated With Growing Rods
Cahill, Marvil, Cuddihy et al
Spine 2010

• 9 patients with varied diagnoses
• 89% of patients demonstrated autofusion
• Mean 11 levels/patient

Results

• Spontaneous partial facet fusions infrequent at non-fusion levels. Most common at level cephalad to apical fusion

• 20% (2/10) developed PJK during study period. Both occurred after definitive PSF
### Conclusion

- **SHILLA GROWTH GUIDANCE SYSTEM** is a viable alternative to traditional distraction-based constructs.
- 55.9% improvement in coronal deformity with a mean 3.2 operative procedures (SGGS implantation, SGGS revisions, definitive PSF).
- Minimal alteration in sagittal alignment by surgical interventions.